At an I.A.S. Trial Term, Part of the Supreme
Court of the State of New York, held in and for the
County of Kings, at the Courthouse, located at
Civic Center, Borough of Brooklyn, City and State
of New York, on the 13 day of March 2020
1) MINICH O

PRESENT (:)	1) 1100001	
Hon.		
Justice		
Golden Bridge	Cal. No. Plaintiff(s) Index No. 5656	134/18
- against -		
Rutland Road	Defendant(s)	
The following papers numbered 1 to rea	d on this motion Papers Num	ibered
Notice of Motion - Order to Show Cause	OSC	
and Affidavits (Affirmations) Annexed Answering Affidavit (Affirmation)		
Reply Affidavit (Affirmation)	OPP Z	»»
Affidavit (Affirmation)		···
Pleadings - ExhibitsStipulations - Minutes	- CAN - S	
Filed Paners		•••
SC to vacate the a denied. The mere fact is no reason to se	prior order of this	Court
denied. The mere fact	- that an appeal is	pendix
is no reason to se	et aside the winning	bid.
Cross motion grante	d to extent that	No.
Gross motion grante Closing to take	2 place w/in 10 de	eys
For Clerks use only Com Soulice		
MD / POPP SOURCE	2 ha com it	This
Motion Seg. #		000
6,7	ENTER	
00 must recom	innumarion of the state of the	
EJV-rev 11-04	FUDENOF KNIPEL	
03714	HON. LAWRENCE KNIPEL SUPREME COURT JUSTICE	*
CAMINGS COUNTY OLF BY	SUPREME	

YSCER DOC. NO. 82 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/15/2020
nipe Instructions: Fill in the names of the parties and the index number. Complete the blank spaces
next to the instructions printed in bold type. Cross out information in italics which does not
apply. PRINT AND USE BLACK INK ONLY. (Other blank spaces are for Court use).
At an IAS Part Com 6 of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, held in and for the County of Kings at the courthouse at 360 Adams Street the Ly day of February 2020
PRESENT: HON. Lawrence Knipe
Justice of the Supreme Court
x CoM9
GOLDEN BRIDGE LLC, d/b/a GOLDEN BRIDGE FUNDING LLC,
Index Number
Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s) [fill in name(s)]
505934/2018
-against-
RUTLAND DEVELOPMENT GROUP, INC. NYS DEPT OF TAXATION & FINANCE, et. al. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
NYS DEPT OF TAXATION & FINANCE, et. al. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WITH T.R.O. IN CIVIL
Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) fill in name(s)] [ACTION / PROCEEDING
X
Upon reading and filing the affidavit / petition of BENZAKUNIM BADALOV
[your name] sworn to / verified on the 24th day of, 20 20 [date the paper
was sworn to before a notary public] and upon the exhibits attached thereto, and [list any
ther supporting papers, e.g. additional affidavits, exhibits Hon Judge Vaughn's order Dated July 1, 2019 Denying Plaintiff's request for Extension of the time to close in light of the lack of marketable title. Email Dated February 14, 2020 from Old Republic and Title Company denial letter
Let the plaintiff(s)/petitioner(s)/defendant(s)/respondent(s) (other side) or his/her/their
attorney show cause at IAS Part 646, Room 774 of this Court, to be held at the Courthouse, 38
360 Adams Street, Brooklyn NY on the day of WCh, 2020, at 9:30 o'clock
in the forenoon of that day or as soon thereafter as the parties may be heard why an order should
not be made [describe what you are asking the Court to grant] Vacate Hon. Knipel's Order
Extending the time to close for Thirty (30) days on the grounds that Hon Vaughn's order dated July 1, 2019
has already denied such relief in its entirety and that was not appealed by Plaintiff, in fact the Hon. Vaughn's order
has concluded in its discussion section that "the quiet title action constitutes reasonable doubt sufficient to
affect the marketability of title as to the 1024 property Contrary to [Plaintiff's] contentions, the terms of sale
as incorporated in the memorandum of purchase do not compel the bidder to purchase the underlying properties

subject to the notice of pendency filed in the quiet title action."

Therefore, the Hon Vaughn's order dated July 1, 2019 is law of the case and in fact the only reason that the downpayment was not returned is because of the fact that Bidder's counsel failed to cross move to interevene as a party and obtain the return of downpaymen therefore, Hon Knipel should not have altered Hon. Vaughn's descision.
for the reasons that [briefly describe the reasons for your request]
We hereby request that the either the closing be stayed until the quiet title action and all appeals arising from that action are concluded and bidder is able to obtain a good marketable title, or in the alternative the return of the downpayment and ordering a reauction of the property.
Pending the hearing of this motion it is ORDERED that [insert the action you are
asking the Court to direct or to stop]
Plaintiff and referee shall be stayed from conducting any re-sale of the subject property pending the hearing on my
terms of sale - stay effective only after this order is
Served as hereinafter provided
De la constantina della consta
The state of the s
Sufficient cause appearing therefor, let person by overhight many of this order and the
papers upon which it is granted, upon the plaintiffes printing of the first treffered to some of the plaintiffes printing of the plaintiffes printing of the plaintiffes to the plaintiffes printing of the plaintiffes to the plaintiffes printing of the plaintiffes to the plaintiff
except, if represented, upon their counsel on or before the 28 day of Feb,
20 20 be deemed good and sufficient. An affidavit or other proof of service shall be presented
to the Court on the return date directed in the second paragraph of this order.
ENTER,
J.S.C.
Hon. Lawrence Knipel
Hon Cavion
KOSR10/08
00 :01 MA 11 MUC 0505

3 of 3